

*Eighteen theologians and religious thinkers from nine denominations gathered at the Hartford Seminary Foundation, Hartford, Connecticut, January 24-26, to draft a declaration in response to themes in contemporary Christian thought which they viewed as "pervasive, false, and debilitating." The meeting, initiated by Worldview editors Peter L. Berger and Richard John Neuhaus, has already received widespread attention in the secular and religious press. It is suggested by many observers of American religion and culture that the "Hartford Appeal" will be the subject of discussion and debate for a long time to come. In this issue we publish the complete statement. Our May issue will carry a symposium of critical comment on the Appeal by a wide spectrum of Christian thinkers.*  
 — Eds.

# An Appeal for Theological Affirmation

The renewal of Christian witness and mission requires constant examination of the assumptions shaping the Church's life. Today an apparent loss of a sense of the transcendent is undermining the Church's ability to address with clarity and courage the urgent tasks to which God calls it in the world. This loss is manifest in a number of pervasive themes. Many are superficially attractive, but upon closer examination we find these themes false and debilitating to the Church's life and work. Among such themes are:

*Theme 1: Modern thought is superior to all past forms of understanding reality, and is therefore normative for Christian faith and life.*

In repudiating this theme we are protesting the captivity to the prevailing thought structures not only of the twentieth century but of any historical period. We favor using any helpful means of understanding, ancient or modern, and insist that the Christian proclamation must be related to the idiom of the culture. At the same time, we affirm the need for Christian thought to confront and be confronted by other worldviews, all of which are necessarily provisional.

*Theme 2: Religious statements are totally independent of reasonable discourse.*

The capitulation to the alleged primacy of modern thought takes two forms: one is the subordination

of religious statements to the canons of scientific rationality; the other, equating reason with scientific rationality, would remove religious statements from the realm of reasonable discourse altogether. A religion of pure subjectivity and nonrationality results in treating faith statements as being, at best, statements about the believer. We repudiate both forms of capitulation.

*Theme 3: Religious language refers to human experience and nothing else, God being humanity's noblest creation.*

Religion is also a set of symbols and even of human projections. We repudiate the assumption that it is nothing but that. What is here at stake is nothing less than the reality of God: *We did not invent God; God invented us.*

*Theme 4: Jesus can only be understood in terms of contemporary models of humanity.*

This theme suggests a reversal of "the imitation of Christ"; that is, the image of Jesus is made to reflect cultural and countercultural notions of human excellence. We do not deny that all aspects of humanity are illumined by Jesus. Indeed, it is necessary to the universality of the Christ that he be perceived in relation to the particularities of the believers' world. We do repudiate the captivity to such metaphors, which are necessarily inadequate, rela-

five, transitory, and frequently idolatrous. Jesus, together with the Scriptures and the whole of the Christian tradition, cannot be arbitrarily interpreted without reference to the history of which they are part. The danger is in the attempt to exploit the tradition without taking the tradition seriously.

*Theme 5: All religions are equally valid; the choice among them is not a matter of conviction about truth but only of personal preference or lifestyle.*

We affirm our common humanity. We affirm the importance of exploring and confronting all manifestations of the religious quest and of learning from the riches of other religions. But we repudiate this theme because it flattens diversities and ignores contradictions. In doing so, it not only obscures the meaning of Christian faith, but also fails to respect the integrity of other faiths. Truth matters; therefore differences among religions are deeply significant.

*Theme 6: To realize one's potential and to be true to oneself is the whole meaning of salvation.*

Salvation contains a promise of human fulfillment, but to identify salvation with human fulfillment can trivialize the promise. We affirm that salvation cannot be found apart from God.

*Theme 7: Since what is human is good, evil can adequately be understood as failure to realize potential.*

This theme invites false understanding of the ambivalence of human existence and underestimates the pervasiveness of sin. Paradoxically, by minimizing the enormity of evil, it undermines serious and sustained attacks on particular social or individual evils.

*Theme 8: The sole purpose of worship is to promote individual self-realization and human community.*

Worship promotes individual and communal values, but it is above all a response to the reality of God and arises out of the fundamental need and desire to know, love, and adore God. We worship God because God is to be worshiped.

*Theme 9: Institutions and historical traditions are oppressive and inimical to our being truly human; liberation from them is required for authentic existence and authentic religion.*

Institutions and traditions are often oppressive. For this reason they must be subjected to relentless criticism. But human community inescapably requires institutions and traditions. Without them life would degenerate into chaos and new forms of bondage. The modern pursuit of liberation from all social and historical restraints is finally dehumanizing.

*Theme 10: The world must set the agenda for the Church. Social, political, and economic programs to improve the quality of life are ultimately normative for the Church's mission in the world.*

This theme cuts across the political and ideological spectrum. Its form remains the same, no matter whether the content is defined as upholding the values of the American way of life, promoting socialism, or raising human consciousness. The Church must denounce oppressors, help liberate the oppressed, and seek to heal human misery. Sometimes the Church's mission coincides with the world's programs. But the norms for the Church's activity derive from its own perception of God's will for the world.

*Theme 11: An emphasis on God's transcendence is at least a hindrance to, and perhaps incompatible with, Christian social concern and action.*

This supposition leads some to denigrate God's transcendence. Others, holding to a false transcendence, withdraw into religious privatism or individualism and neglect the personal and communal responsibility of Christians for the earthly city. From a biblical perspective, it is precisely because of confidence in God's reign over all aspects of life that Christians must participate fully in the struggle against oppressive and dehumanizing structures and their manifestations in racism, war, and economic exploitation.

*Theme 12: The struggle for a better humanity will bring about the Kingdom of God.*

The struggle for a better humanity is essential to Christian faith and can be informed and inspired by the biblical promise of the Kingdom of God. But imperfect human beings cannot create a perfect society. The Kingdom of God surpasses any conceivable utopia. God has his own designs which confront ours, surprising us with judgment and redemption.

*Theme 13: The question of hope beyond death is irrelevant or at best marginal to the Christian understanding of human fulfillment.*

This is the final capitulation to modern thought. If death is the last word, then Christianity has nothing to say to the final questions of life. We believe that God raised Jesus from the dead and are "... convinced that there is nothing in death or life, in the realm of spirits or superhuman powers, in the world as it is or in the world as it shall be, in the forces of the universe, in heights or depths—nothing in all creation that can separate us from the love of God in Christ Jesus our Lord" (Romans 8:38 f.).

*Signers of the Appeal:*

Dr. Peter L. Berger  
Department of Sociology  
Rutgers University

Dr. Elizabeth Ann Bettenhausen  
Lutheran Church in America  
Department for Church & Society

The Rev. William Sloane Coffin, Jr.  
Chaplain, Yale University

Father Avery Dulles, S.J.  
Department of Theology  
The Catholic University of America

Dr. Neal Fisher  
United Methodist Church  
Board of Global Ministries

Dr. George W. Forell  
School of Religion  
The University of Iowa

Dr. James N. Gettemy  
President, The Hartford Seminary Foundation

\*Dr. Stanley Hauerwas  
Department of Theology  
University of Notre Dame

\*Father Thomas Hopko  
St. Vladimir's Orthodox Theological Seminary

Dr. George A. Lindbeck  
The Divinity School  
Yale University

Dr. Ileana Marculescu  
Visiting Professor of Philosophy and Religion  
Union Theological Seminary

Dr. Ralph McInerny  
Department of Philosophy  
University of Notre Dame

\*The Right Rev. E. Kilmer Myers  
Bishop of The Diocese of California  
The Protestant Episcopal Church

Dr. Richard J. Mouw  
Department of Philosophy  
Calvin College

Pastor Richard John Neuhaus  
Church of St. John the Evangelist  
Brooklyn, N.Y.

\*Dr. Randolph W. Nugent, Jr.  
United Methodist Church  
Board of Global Ministries

Dr. Carl J. Peter  
Department of Systematic Theology  
The Catholic University of America

Father Alexander Schmemmann  
St. Vladimir's Orthodox Theological Seminary

\*Dr. Nathan A. Scott, Jr.  
The Divinity School  
The University of Chicago

Father Gerard Sloyan  
Department of Religion  
Temple University

Dr. Lewis B. Smedes  
Department of Theology  
Fuller Theological Seminary

Father George H. Tavard  
Methodist Theological School in Ohio

\*Father Bruce Vawter, C.M.  
Department of Theology  
DePaul University

\*The Venerable John D. Weaver  
Director of Future Planning  
Diocese of California  
The Protestant Episcopal Church

Dr. Robert Wilken  
Department of History  
University of Notre Dame

\*Signers who were involved in the preparation for the Hartford meeting but were not able to participate in the meeting itself.