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On occasion one reviews a book that is disappointing because of what it might have been with better editing. The Age of Surveillance is just such a "might have been" book—might have been receiving better reviews, might have conveyed an important story to the public in a far more convincing manner, and, consequently, might have accomplished what the author so passionately desired. Instead we have a book that is poorly structured, badly balanced, redundant, pedantic, occasionally tendentious, and a mighty chore to read. Having said all this, I hasten to add that I am glad to have read Mr. Donner's book, and, as bad as it is, I thank him for writing it.

In a deliberate, exhaustive, almost tortuous fashion the author lays bare the political surveillance activities of private and public organizations. People, places, and events are documented with such detail as to be overwhelming at times. Over a hundred pages alone are devoted to J. Edgar Hoover who, as the book reveals, destroyed himself and betrayed not only the public's trust but that of his own organization as well. Still, for all his paranoia about communism and his manipulation of Congress and presidents alike, Hoover did create an agency that combated interstate crime, frustrated corporate fraud, and was more effective in its counterintelligence role than is generally known. Even devils must have their due. To have given Mr. Hoover his would have added credibility to Donner's more important judgments. And do we really need to know that the director had such a phobia about germs that he ordered the installation of violet-ray toilet lamps or that he was so concerned about his safety that he forbade left turns of his limousine and propped a decoy hat in his car to confuse would-be assassins?

Hoover and the FBI are truly the devil incarnate to Donner, and he devotes the first six chapters of his volume almost exclusively to them. The reader who endures this will be rewarded in the remaining six chapters with a relatively more thoughtful and balanced consideration of the domestic surveillance activities (especially during the 1960s and '70s) of the military, the presidential office, CIA, Congress, the Internal Revenue Service, and of numerous private organizations.

The book documents wire-tapping, "dirty tricks," extensive file-keeping, break-ins, provocations, and disinformation involving thousands of United States citizens and hundreds of organizations. According to Donner, there is scarcely a scintilla of evidence to justify undertaking these activities but apparently much to show that, in fact, these activities failed to protect us from the bombings, riots, or lesser civil disturbances that actually threatened the public interest. Donner provides no analysis and little reasoned argument to support these premises. He wins his case—almost—by his massive documentation (659 citations) and by his extraordinary devotion to a subject that has been his passion for twenty years.

I cannot imagine anyone knowing more about the history of political surveillance in the U.S. than Frank Donner. I couldn't be more impressed if he knew the batting averages of every player in the World Series since 1945. Unhappily, Mr. Donner's passion, which he states clearly in the introduction, clouds his understanding and weakens his case. Speaking of his accumulation of material on the abuse of civil liberties, he states, "[It] served a deep personal need. The files and each item in them became for me a form of remonstrance, a private protest against betrayal by the government of its democratic premises. Beyond this lay the vague hope that someday I might wrest time from my law practice to present my final accounting in a book. My work as a civil liberties lawyer not only provided fresh material for my files but sharpened my desire to put it all down in a grand reckoning." All of this from the man who abhors the files kept by others—granting that there are files and then there are files....

As the "trifling elements" of Right and Left again marshal their forces to destroy each other, my concern grows about the sins that Donner describes. His book has sharpened my unease considerably but deepened my understanding only slightly. Perhaps there is an inherent tendency in governments to stifle dissent, to confuse sedition with political radicalism. Beyond documenting the sins of the past, Mr. Donner could have helped us deal better with the future.
Pakistan (1951-53) and for India and Nepal (1953-70), is a professor of rural sociology at the University of Missouri. Co-author Paul Bomani is Tanzania’s ambassador to the United States. He served his nation as minister for agriculture and cooperatives (1960-62), for finance (1962-65), and for economic affairs and development planning (1965-70). Their book is brief and not unduly technical, its small size (like the small farm units the authors advocate for more effective food-crop production in poor countries) provides a manageable challenge. Careful cultivation of these well-organized ideas will yield both comprehension of the problem and the outline of a comprehensive solution over three generations.

“Poverty is the warehouse of hunger,” writes the vice-president of Tanzania, Al-haj Aboud M. Jumbe, in his introduction to this volume. The authors agree. They know, however, that the warehouse will not be dismantled without a cultural transformation and destruction of the conditions that create poverty. They also say it won’t happen without the redirection of human development programs toward the reinforcement of self-respect, which, once achieved, can facilitate the integration of the rural poor into the socio-economic and as well as the political structures of the nation.

A central element in the needed cultural transformation is the substitution of an “improved quality of life” value for the development-impeding “survival” value embedded in poverty cultures. The authors keep returning to the “landless laborers,” who quite literally embody the painful problem of hunger and who must be organized around a quality-of-life issue if development is to come about. “It is impossible to overstate the difference between the existing value to survive, if they can, and the value to improve the quality of life. The improved quality of life value is presently foreign to those locked in poverty.”

Ensminger and Bomani are speaking here of values that are related to eating habits. Diversified food crops produced for regional markets and providing diets targeted to human energy requirements involve a shift from single food-cropping. Conditions for producing essential crops necessary to meet these requirements and better nutrition are impeded by survival values that prompt the poor to farm as they have for generations. “Until those in poverty can feel secure, they will not risk their chances of survival.” For example, to introduce home garden programs into rural economies is to run “against the cultural grain and present preferences for single cereal diets.” But the task is not impossible. It must be accomplished if the quality-survival paradox is to be solved.

“Top-down” and “trickle-down” strategies must yield to new policies that help to support families. The new criterion for measuring progress should be quality of life, not gross national product. The authors are not unaware that interdisciplinary research is required to construct the new measuring rod. An essential element, however, is a nutritionally adequate diet for all.

People are not poor because they are incapable of development as human beings but because they are denied access to opportunity, to the many services and institutions that move the development process along. Financing is a problem, of course. Deeper still is the problem of land reform understood as “an intervention in the prevailing pattern of land ownership, control and usage to increase productivity and to broaden the distribution of benefits.” In what only can be described as an understatement intended to suggest the enormity of the challenge, the authors write: “To accomplish this reform the individuals who benefit from the present setup will stand to lose a lot of property. It is no wonder that the elite in poor countries are opposed to its implementation.”

Ensminger and Bomani are sensitive to the importance of involving the people, particularly women, in the solution of their own problems of poverty and hunger. The emphasis on small-farm agriculture, on integrated agricultural development, and on integrated rural development reflects a bias toward the people and a patience with the process of reform. They give insufficient attention, however, to the structural problems of land ownership and the distribution of returns available from export-cropping.

I would put this book in the company of To Feed This World, by Sterling Wortman and Ralph Cummings, Jr. (Johns Hopkins, 1978), as a useful policy guide for those in a position to influence the development process in a hungry world.